THE PRESIDENT AND THE FILE CABINETS

(Mr. OLSEN of Montana asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Montana. OLSEN of Mr. Speaker, some of us who have been laboring in the vineyard of Government records, reports, statistics, forms, and other paperwork were very pleased last week when President Johnson ordered the General Services Administration to cut down on purchases of file cabinets. According to the Washington Post of January 15 last, this move could result in a savings of \$5 million a year to the Government and we applaud this Lation.

But, Mr. Speaker, to cut down on the number of file cabinets is to lock the barn door after the horse has been stolen. The records to be filed are already on our hands-25 million cubic feet of them and if you do not store them in file cabinets, you bundle them up and store them in Government buildings at a cost of \$2 per square foot, on the average. As a matter of fact, the Government's records holdings are at an alltime high and the story about being buried in our own detritus may be more than apocryphal.

Mr. Speaker, as many of my colleagues know, last year our Subcommittee on Census and Government Statistics went into this matter of reports, forms, questionnaires, statistics, records, and all the rest. We made studies and investigations, held hearings in several cities, and took testimony from over 100 witnesses from public and private life, including a number of my colleagues here in the House. Last fall, in the closing days of the 88th Congress, we published some 800 pages of hearings tostimony under the title "The Federal Paperwork the title "The Federal Paperwork Jungle." To those of my colleagues who have not examined this hearings testimony, let me urge you to do so.

ONE BILLION REPORTS

Our subcommittee will soon release its report on the "Federal Paperwork Jungle." The report will show that new Federal records are now being created at the rate of 4.5 billion a year and this, again, is the highest rate in history. It will show that the Federal agencies now require over 1 billion reports a year from industry, business, and the public or some 5 reports for every man, woman, and child in the United States. It will show that one Federal agency, alone, the Internal Revenue Service, accounts for about one-half of all Federal reports or some 500 million forms a year, if we include the new reporting system on dividends, rents, and interest authorized by Congress in the Federal Revenue Act of 1962. The other big Federal agencies in this paperwork game are: the Social Security Administration with over 300 million reports a year; the Bureau of Customs, 36 million; the Vet-erans' Administration, 33 million; the Census Bureau, 16 million; and the Bureau of Employment Security, 12.5 million.

complaints from business, industry, and the public about the number and complexity of reports required and requested by the Federal agencies, we were gen-uinely shocked when we learned about the paperwork requirements inflicted upon the public by the Federal agencies. In order to verify our findings, we requested the Government Printing Office to check our figures. The Public Printer keeps careful records of printing orders and requisitons placed by the agencies and here is what he reported: In fiscal year 1964, the Government Printing Office printed 2.3 billion public-use forms for the Federal agencies, or some 12 forms for every man, woman, and child in the United States. Now, this figure, of course, includes copies, duplicates, unused forms, and all that, but it does not include internal use forms or other materials which do not go out to the public.

RESPONSIBILITY

Mr. Speaker, 10 years ago the Second Hoover Commission found that "reporting to the Government is excessively expensive and burdensome," and in 1956, President Eisenhower directed the Bureau of the Budget to take actions necessary to reduce the unnecessary reporting burden on industry and the public. Our subcommittee report will show that now, some 10 years later, the situation is far worse than it was 10 years ago in spite of presidential directives, Hoover commissions, congressional investigations, and so forth. One Federal department has today 262 more reports than it had when the Hoover Commission reported in 1955. Last year one Federal regulatory agency came out with a questionnaire which will cost each company a minimum of \$85,000 to complete, One firm told us that it will cost them \$250,-000 to supply the information. Some small business people told us that Federal and State reporting requirements are driving them out of business. In fact, our report will show that Government reports cost small businessmen some \$2 billion every year.

Our subcommittee has made a careful study of this paperwork mess and our findings and recommendations will be released soon. There is no question but that both the executive branch and Congress must share the blame in this proliferation of Federal reports and paperwork. We found that Federal administrators often are unaware of the volume of paperwork their assistants are foisting upon the public. We found also that the Federal Reports Act, which Congress passed during World War II, is not being effectively administered by the Bureau of the Budget. In Congress, as we well know, we have permitted archaic and outmoded legislation requiring all kinds of ancient reports to remain on the books year after year and we grind out new legislation, each Congress calling for all kinds of new reporting systems even though they duplicate existing ones.

Mr. Speaker, time does not permit me to go on today, but to return to the President and the file cabinets, we all fully support his efforts to cut out waste and inefficiency everywhere, and I, for one,

his hand. Millions of dollars in costs to the Government and to the public can be saved by cutting out excessive and unnecessary Federal reports.

But, believe me, it will take the best efforts of Congress and the executive branch to cut down on excessive and needless Federal paperwork. "Old forms never die, nor do they fade away. They outlast their author, their recipient and, in most cases, their purposes.'

THE AMERICAN BLACK MARKET

(Mr. O'HARA of Illinois asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute, and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. O'HARA of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, Mr. O'HARA of Illinois. Mr. Speaker, about 2 years ago I called attention on the House floor to the plight of the American walnut industry, so important in our great Midwest. The industry at the time, I pointed out, was faced with extinction as our giant logs, found nowhere also the the world with being where else in the world, were being rapidly cut down for shipment overrapidly cut down for snipment over-seas. Unless some action were taken soon this treasured raw material, the American black walnut tree, which takes 50 years or more to grow, would soon be as extinct as the dodo bird.

Fortunately, this warning was heeded by our Federal Government. I am re-minded of this by the American Walnut Association, which is now kappily cele-brating its 50th amiversary

Last year, for the first time other than in a world crisis, the U.S. Government has imposed export controls on ment has imposed export controls on this precious natural resource. The Department of Commerce is to be high-ly commended for taking control action to conserve this aristocraft of American hardwoods. The Department imposed consumption curbs not only on foreign shipments, which were growing to gi-gantic proportions, but on domestic marketing as well. Without this action this valuable American species was faced marketing as well. Without this action this valuable American species was faced with depletion in less than 10 years. Now, with a proper balance of cutting and new planting the situation is entirely changed. We may write this as a chapter in the continuing Battle to preserve our natural resources.

While the Department's restrictions on ruthless cutting of this fine lumber for shipment overseas now prevail, the domestic industry is also the congratulated on steps taken since we brought this is to House's attention to do its part at home.

part at home.

part at home.

The Walnut Association informs me a major feature of the conservation program is the establishment of the Walnut Research Center at Southern Illinois University at Carbondale. The research center is designed to increase the volume and quality of walnut in the next decade and to accelerate the growth of existing immature trees.

We may give thanks that the stately

We may give hanks that the stately old walnut tree which we remember so well from boylood, is being saved. I am most grateful for the part I was able to play in averting this great dis-

DISBELIKE Although the members of our sub- inefficiency everywhere, and I, for one, able to play in average the members of our sub- inefficiency everywhere, and I, for one, able to play in average the members of our sub- inefficiency everywhere, and I, for one, able to play in average the members of our sub- inefficiency everywhere, and I, for one, able to play in average the members of our sub- inefficiency everywhere, and I, for one, able to play in average the members of our sub- inefficiency everywhere, and I, for one, able to play in average the members of our sub- inefficiency everywhere, and I, for one, able to play in average the members of our sub- inefficiency everywhere, and I, for one, able to play in average the members of our sub- inefficiency everywhere, and I, for one, able to play in average the members of our sub- inefficiency everywhere, and I, for one, able to play in average the members of our sub- inefficiency everywhere, and I, for one, able to play in average the members of our sub- inefficiency everywhere, and I, for one, able to play in average the members of our sub- inefficiency everywhere, and I, for one, able to play in average the members of our sub- inefficiency everywhere, and I, for one, able to play in average the members of our sub- inefficiency everywhere it is a sub- inefficiency everywhere it